UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,666	03/08/2004	James M. Brugger	53951-097	3932
21890 PROSKAUER	7590 09/12/2007 ROSELLP	•	· EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPARTMENT			HAND, MELANIE JO	
1585 BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10036-8299			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3761	
			MAN DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



	Application No.	Applicant(a)			
Advison, Astion		Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/797,666	BRUGGER ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Melanie J. Hand	3761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a)					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	·				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	·				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) TATYANA ZALUKAEVA SUPERVISORY PRIXARY EXAMINER

MJH

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Truitt does not teach a sensor configured to sense pressure in said non-blood side of said filter, and a controller...to maintain a constant pressure in said arterial blood line by regulating a speed of an arterial pump in response to said pressure signal". First, it is understood herein that applicant set "arterial" in brackets because applicant is aware that this limitation is not present in claim 6. Second, the phrase "to maintain a constant pressure in said arterial blood line" is functional language that is given little patentable weight herein. The limitation, for the purpose of only examining those limitations with patentable weight, is read by the Office as "a controller connected to receive a pressure signal from said sensor, said controller being configured to regulate a speed of said pump in response to said pressure signal". Truitt does teach this in Col. 6, lines 12-14, where Truitt teaches pressure sensor 83 for monitoring pressure in secondary chamber 46, i.e. the non-blood side of filter 40. Truitt then teaches in Col. 6, lines 43-54 and 61-65 that a signal from pressure sensor 83 is received by monitor computer 104 which then sends its own communication based on the data from sensor 83 to control computer 102, which then supplies control signals based on this received signal from the monitor computer 104 to control operation of pumps 52, 62, 66, 78 and 84. The Office interprets the teaching "control the operation of the pumps" to mean controlling or regulating the speeds of the pumps, as the operation or output of the pump is always a sole result of the pump's speed. The limitation of claim 9 referred to by applicant, "a controller with a sensor to detect pressure on the non-filter side and to vary a rate of flow of the pump such that a constant predefined pressure is maintained in the arterial blood line" is considered herein to flow inherently and necessarily from the teachings of Truitt, since Truitt meets all of the claim limitations of claim 9, specifically a controller and a sensor that together regulate the speed of the pump. It is interpreted that by teaching such a system, the problem sought to be solved by Truitt is providing a system that maintains a desired, constant predefined pressure, according to a particular patient's treatment plan.